

Co-efficient problem for a new subclass of analytic functions using subordination

Chatinder Kaur¹, Gurmeet Singh²

¹Department of Mathematics, Punjabi University Patiala, Punjab, India

²Department of Mathematics, Associate Professor, G.S.S.D.G.S. Khalsa College Patiala, Punjab, India
chatinderkaur@gmail.com¹, meetgur111@gmail.com²

Abstract

In this paper, by taking the analytic function $\phi(z)$, we obtained the sharp upper bounds of the Fekete-Szego functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ and defined the general class $M_{g,h}^{\alpha,\delta}(\phi)$ by using the convolution and subordinate. We also discussed some applications of our main result.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Analytic functions, convex functions, Fekete-Szego inequality, Starlike functions, Subordination.

1 INTRODUCTION

Let A be the class of analytic function f which is defined on the unit disk $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

Let the class A has the subclass S which consists the univalent functions. For two analytic functions f and g , the function f is subordinate to g in the unit disk Δ denoted by $f \prec g$, for the analytic function w with $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. If g is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Delta) \subseteq g(\Delta)$.

A function $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$ is said to be in the class P if $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$. In the open unit disk Δ , the analytic univalent function ϕ has positive real part and $\phi(\Delta)$ be symmetric with respect to the real axis, starlike with respect to $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi'(0) > 0$. Ma and Minda [4] introduced the classes $S^*(\phi)$ and $C(\phi)$ satisfying

$z f'(z)/f(z) \prec \phi(z)$ and $1 + z f''(z)/f'(z) \prec \phi(z)$ respectively, by presenting various subclasses of starlike and convex functions.

The class $M(\alpha, \phi)$ of α -convex functions with respect to ϕ which consists the function f in A and includes several classes as $S^*(\phi)$, $C(\phi)$ and

$$M(\alpha, (1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z)/(1 - z)) =: M(\alpha),$$

Introduced by Ali et al [1] Which satisfying

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z).$$

Ali et al. [2] also introduced several coefficient problems for p -valent analytic functions. Miller and Mocanu [5] were introduced and studied the α -convex function's class $M(\alpha)$.

In 1933 Fekete and Szego proved that

$$|a_2^2 - \mu a_3| \leq \begin{cases} 4\mu - 3 & (\mu \geq 1) \\ 1 + \exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}\right) & (0 \leq \mu \leq 1) \\ 3 - 4\mu & (\mu \leq 0) \end{cases}$$

By Fekete-Szego problem, we can find the sharp bounds for the non-linear functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ of any compact family of functions. In 1969, the sharp bounds for Fekete-Szego functional $|a_2^2 - \mu a_3|$ for functions in some subclasses of \mathcal{S} were obtained by Keogh and Merck [3].

We have the functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n \quad (1.2)$$

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n \quad (1.3)$$

And the convolution of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n g_n z^n =: (g * f)(z).$$

Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [6] introduced a new class $M_{g,h}$ of functions $f \in A$, by using the Hadamard product, which satisfying

$$\frac{(f * g)(z)}{(f * h)(z)} \prec \phi(z)$$

Where $g, h \in A, (g_n > 0, h_n > 0, g_n - h_n > 0)$

Our results extend several earlier known works in [3, 4, 6].

Definition 1.1 Let the functions $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ defined in (1.2) and (1.3) respectively with $g_n > 0, h_n > 0$ and $g_n - h_n > 0$ for the analytic function ϕ with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi'(0) > 0$, the function $f \in A$ given by (1.1)

is said to be in the class $M_{g,h}^{\alpha,\delta}(\phi)$, for $\alpha > 0$, if it satisfies

$$(1-\alpha) \frac{(f * g)(z)}{(f * h)(z)} + \alpha \frac{(f * g)'(z)}{(f * h)'(z)} \prec \phi(z)$$

To prove our main result, we required the following Lemma 1.1 of Ali et al. [2]. Let Ω be the class of analytic functions w , with conditions $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$.

1.1 Lemma

If $w \in \Omega$ and $w(z) = w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + \dots (z \in \Delta)$, then

$$|w_2 - t w_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -t & (t \leq -1) \\ 1 & (-1 \leq t \leq 1) \\ t & (t \geq 1) \end{cases}$$

For $t < -1$ or $t > 1$, equality holds if and only if $w(z) = z$ or one of its rotations. For $-1 < t < 1$, equality holds if and only if $w(z) = z^2$ or one of its rotations. Equality holds for $t = -1$ if and only if

$$w(z) = z(\lambda + z)/(1 + \lambda z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$$

Or one of its rotations, while for $t = 1$, equality holds if and only if

$$w(z) = -z(\lambda + z)/(1 + \lambda z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$$

or one of its rotations.

1.2 Lemma

If $w \in \Omega$, then, for any complex number t ,

$$|w_2 - t w_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |t|\}$$

And the result is sharp for the functions given by $w(z) = z^2$ or $w(z) = z$.

2. FEKETE-SZEGO PROBLEM

We begin with the following result:

Theorem 2.1

Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $M_{g,h}^{\alpha,\delta}(\phi)$, then, for any real number μ ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1 A \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} & (\mu \leq \sigma_1) \\ \frac{B_1 \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} & (\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2) \\ \frac{B_1 A \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(h_3 - g_3)} & (\mu \geq \sigma_2) \end{cases}$$

Where

$$A = \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{(\delta - 1)}{2} B_1$$

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{\delta[(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2 g_2) + \mu(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)]B_1}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2}$$

$$+ \frac{(\delta - 1)(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2}{2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)\delta}$$

$$+ \frac{(B_2 - B_1)(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2}{\delta B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}$$

$$- \frac{\delta(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2 g_2)B_1^2}{\delta B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}$$

And

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{(\delta - 1)(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2}{2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)\delta}$$

$$+ \frac{(B_2 + B_1)(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2}{\delta B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}$$

$$- \frac{\delta(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2 g_2)B_1^2}{\delta B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}$$

And for any complex number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1 \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} \max\{1, |t|\}$$

Where

$$t = \frac{\delta[(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2 g_2) + \mu(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)]B_1^2}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2 B_1}$$

$$- \frac{B_2(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2 B_1} - \frac{(\delta - 1)}{2} B_1$$

Proof. If $f \in M_{g,h}^{\alpha,\delta}(\phi)$, then there exist an analytic function

$$w(z) = w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + \dots \in \Omega$$

Such that

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{(f * g)(z)}{(f * h)(z)} + \alpha \frac{(f * g)'(z)}{(f * h)'(z)} = \phi(w(z))^\delta \quad (2.3)$$

By using

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n \text{ and } h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n$$

We get

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha) \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n g_n z^n \right)}{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n h_n z^n} + \frac{\alpha \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n g_n z^n \right)'}{\left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n h_n z^n \right)'}$$

Then the computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(f * g)(z)}{(f * h)(z)} &= 1 + a_2(g_2 - h_2)z \\ &+ [a_3(g_3 - h_3)]z^2 \\ &+ [a_2^2(h_2^2 - h_2g_2)]z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(f * g)'(z)}{(f * h)'(z)} &= 1 + a_2(g_2 - h_2)z \\ &+ [3a_3(g_3 - h_3)]z^2 \\ &+ [4a_2^2(h_2^2 - h_2g_2)]z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi(w(z)))^\delta &= 1 + \delta(B_1w_1z + (B_1w_2 + B_2w_1^2)z^2) \\ &+ \frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2}(B_1^2w_1^2z^2 \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \alpha)(g_2 - h_2)a_2 &= \delta B_1w_1 \\ a_2 &= \frac{\delta B_1w_1}{(1 + \alpha)(g_2 - h_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)a_3 + (1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2g_2)a_2^2 \\ = \delta(B_1w_2 + B_2w_1^2) + \frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2}B_1^2w_1^2 \\ a_3 = \frac{1}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} \left[\delta B_1w_2 + \delta B_2w_1^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2}B_1^2w_1^2 - (1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2g_2) \frac{\delta B_1^2w_1^2}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

A computation using (2.7) and (2.8) gives

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1\delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} [w_2 - tw_1^2] \quad (2.9)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} t &= -\frac{B_2}{B_1} \\ &+ \frac{\delta[(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2g_2) + \mu(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)]B_1}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \\ &- \frac{(\delta-1)}{2}B_1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Now the first inequality (1.3) is established as

follows by an application of Lemma 1.1.If

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{\delta[(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2g_2) + \mu(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)]B_1}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \\ - \frac{(\delta-1)}{2}B_1 \leq -1, \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &\leq \frac{(\delta-1)(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2}{2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)\delta} \\ &+ \frac{(B_2 - B_1)(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2}{\delta B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} \\ &- \frac{\delta(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2g_2)B_1^2}{\delta B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} = \sigma_1 \end{aligned}$$

And Lemma 1.1 gives

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1A\delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &\leq -\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{\delta[(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2g_2)]B_1}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\delta[\mu(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)]B_1}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \\ &- \frac{(\delta-1)}{2}B_1 \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

We have $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, where σ_1 and σ_2 are as given in the statement of the theorem.

Now an application of Lemma 1.1 yields

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1 \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{\delta[(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - h_2 g_2)]B_1}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \\ & + \frac{\delta[\mu(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)]B_1}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \\ & - \frac{(\delta - 1)}{2} B_1 \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

We have $\mu \geq \sigma_2$ and it follows from Lemma 1.1 that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1 A \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}$$

Now the second inequality (2.2) follows by an application of lemma 1.2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| & \leq \frac{B_1 \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} [w_2 - t w_1^2] \\ & \leq \frac{B_1 \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} \max\{1 : |t|\}, \end{aligned}$$

Where t is given by (2.10).

The extremal functions for the first and third inequality of our main result $M_{g,h}^{\alpha,\delta}(\phi)$ is

$$f_1(z) = z(1 + nz)^m$$

Where $n = \frac{b_1^2 - 2a_1}{b_1}$ and $m = \frac{b_1^2}{b_1^2 - 2a_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 & = \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{(\delta - 1)}{2} B_1 - \frac{\delta(1 + 3\alpha)(h_2^2 - g_2 h_2)}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \\ \text{and } b_1 & = \frac{\mu \delta B_1(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}{(1 + \alpha)^2(g_2 - h_2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

The extremal function for the second inequality is

$$f_2(z) = z \left(1 + z^2\right)^{\frac{B_1 \delta}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)}}$$

Remark

If $\delta = 1$ in our main result $M_{g,h}^{\alpha,\delta}(\phi)$,

$$M_{g,h}^{\alpha,1}(\phi) = M_{g,h}^{\alpha}(\phi)$$

Then we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1 A}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} & (\mu \leq \sigma_1) \\ \frac{B_1}{(1 + 2\alpha)(g_3 - h_3)} & (\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2) \\ \frac{B_1 A}{(1 + 2\alpha)(h_3 - g_3)} & (\mu \geq \sigma_2) \end{cases}$$

This result is obtained by [7]

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali, R. M., Lee, S. K., Ravichandran, V. And Subramaniam, S. (2009): The feketeszego coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 359, no. 2, pp. 119-142.
- [2] Ali, R. M., Ravichandran, V. And Seenivasagan, N. (2007): Coefficient bounds for p-valent functions, Appl. Math. Comput., 187, no. 1, pp. 35-46.
- [3] Keogh, F.R. and Merkes, E.P. (1969): A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20, pp. 8-12.
- [4] Ma, W.C. and Minda, D. (1992): A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in: Proceedings of the Conference on complex analysis (Tianjin), pp. 157-169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [5] Miller, S.S. and Mocanu, P.T. (2000), Differential subordinations, Monographs and

Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics,
225, Dekker, New York.

- [6] Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Kavitha, S. and Rosy T. (2007): On the Fekete-Szego problem for some subclasses of analytic functions defined by convolution, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci., 44, no. 4, pp. 249-254.
- [7] Sivaprasad Kumar, S. and Virendra Kumar (2013): Fekete-Szego problem for a class of analytic functions, Stud. Univ., Babes-Bolyai Math. 58, no. 2, pp. 181-188.